SEST AVAILABLE COP

FAEGRE & BENSON LLP

2200 WELLS FARGO CENTER, 90 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55402-3901
TELEPHONE 612-766-7000
FACSIMILE 612-766-1600

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER SEP 2 0 2004

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

Minneapolis Document Service Center (20th Floor) Facsimile No. 612/766-1600

THIS TELECOPY IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE PERSON TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED, AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.

Date: September 20, 2004 Number of pages (including this page): 3		Time:	m. (Minneapolis)	
		F&B File No.:	17310- 298007	Rec.: 328
From: John M. Haurykiewicz		Telephone Number: 612/766-82		612/766-8216
Ar	ie Anne Watko t Unit: 2652 tent & Trademark Office, Techno	logy Center 2600		io.: <i>703-872-9306</i> o.: 703 - 305 - 3531
Inventor(s):	REID C. DANIELSON et al. Examiner: Julie Anne Watko		ne Watko	
Appln. No.:	10/698,331	Group Art Unit:	: 2652	
Filing Date:	October 31, 2003		•	
Title:	HEAD SUSPENSION WITH INTEGRAL SHOCK LIMITER	Docket No.	17310-298007	

Attached for filing:

• Response to Election of Species Requirement (2 pages)

If you do not receive all pages, please call the Fax Center at 612/766-1650 or Kimberly Bzdok at 612/766-7948.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventors: REID C. DANIELSON et al.

Julie Anne Watko

Appln. No.: 10/698,331

703 305 7742

Group Art Unit: 2652

Examiner:

RECEIVED

Filing Date: October 31, 2003

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Title: HEAD SUSPENSION WITH INTEGRAL SHOCK LIMITER

Docket No.

17310-298007

SEP 2 0 2004

METHOD

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendments Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I CERTIPY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING PACSIMILE TRANSMITTED TO THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (FAX No. (703) 872-9306 on September 20/2004.

Kirhberl Bedol

RESPONSE TO ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENT

Sir:

This communication is responsive to the Office Action mailed on August 27, 2004 and identified as paper no. 08252004, in which all pending claims 1-26 were subject to an election of species requirement. In particular, the position was taken that the application includes claims directed to the following species. The Office Action listed the following species:

Species A, drawn to Figures 2-3.

Species B, drawn to Figures 4-6.

Species C, drawn to Figures 7-9.

Species D, drawn to Figures 10-12.

Species E, drawn to Figures 13-15.

By this response, Applicants elect to prosecute Species E in this application. This election is made without traverse. The claims readable on the elected Species B are:1-3, 5, 8, 9-13, and 22.

The Office Action stated that claims 1-3, 11 and 13 are generic. Applicants assert that there are generic claims in the claims that read on the elected Species E, and understands that if a generic claim is allowed, applicants will be entitled to consideration of claims to

10/698,331 Page 2

additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim.

Examination of the application is requested. No fee is believed to be necessary in connection with this response. Should an extension of time, or any fee be required, the applicant requests that this action be undertaken and our Deposit Account No. 06-0029 be charged for the fee.

Respectfully Submitted,

REID C. DANIELSON et al.

John M. Haurykiewicz, #29,311

FAEGRE & BENSON LLP

2200 Wells Fargo Center

90 South Seventh Street

Minneapolis, MN 55402-3901

612/766-8216

Dated: September 20, 2004

M2:20655863.01

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.